

Monitoring for the MSFD: Requirements and Options

Nikolaos ZAMPOUKAS

European Commission - DG JRC Institute for Environment & Sustainability Water Resources Unit





Contents of the presentation

- Legislative background
- •Concepts and examples of integrated monitoring
- •Large scale and less applied monitoring approaches
- •JRC monitoring activities on contaminants & litter





What is monitoring?

The systematic measurement of biotic and abiotic parameters of the marine environment, with predefined spatial and temporal schedule, in order to produce datasets that can be used for application of assessment methods and derive credible conclusions on whether the desired state is achieved or not for the marine area concerned





What is included in monitoring?

- Choice of the parameters to measure
- Location of sampling sites
- Periodicity of sampling
- Processing of the samples
- Measurement of the parameter value

Calculation of metrics and classification not included (but related)





In a nutshell....

Monitoring should provide the data to allow assessment methods to classify a marine area as reaching or failing to reach the desired status





What monitoring is needed for the MSFD?

"...coordinated monitoring programmes should be established and implemented by **15 July 2014** in order to assess the environmental status of marine waters. Such programmes should include the indicative lists **of characteristics, pressures and impacts** of the Directive's Annex III, follow the specifications of Annex V and be able **to assess the achievement of environmental targets** that should be established in accordance with Article 10 by 15 July 2012."



Annex III parameters

Phytoplankton (species compositions) **Zooplankton** (species compositions) **Angiosperms** (biomass & species composition) Macroalgae (biomass & species composition) **Zoobenthos** (biomass & species composition) **Fish** (abundance, distribution age / size structure) **Reptiles** (range, population dynamics, status) **Seabirds** (range, population dynamics, status) Marine mammals (range, population dynamics, status) **Other protected species** (range, population dynamics, status) **Genetically distinct forms of native species** (occurrence, distribution, abundance) Habitats' (predominant, special, protected and endangered) characteristics





Annex III parameters

Currents, wave exposure, depth, ice cover, mixing characteristics, residence time, salinity, seabed (topography, bathymetry, structure, substrata composition), temperature, turbidity, upwelling

Abrasion, extraction, sealing, changes in siltation Contaminants (concentrations and biological effects) Oxygen, temperature & pH Marine litter & underwater noise Introduction of microbial pathogens Introduction of non-indigenous species (occurrence, distribution, abundance, transolcations) Selective extraction of species





What monitoring is needed for the MSFD?

COM DEC; 2010/477/EU lists **29 criteria** and **56 indicators** to assess GES for each descriptor of the MSFD

Data ON THE Annex III parameters should allow for the calculation of the 56 indicators related to the 11 Descriptors





Marine monitoring also needed for:

the Water Framework Directive (WFD) the Environmental Quality Standards Directive (EQS) the Habitats Directive (HD) the Birds Directive (BD) the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) The Regional Seas Conventions (RSCs)





What is integrated monitoring?

A monitoring programme providing data:

- For different MSFD descriptors and indicators
- For different pieces of legislation
- For more than one Member State
- Collected in a comparable way







Integration across descriptors & indicators

E.g. data on fish stocks could also be used for biodiversity, alien species and food chain descriptors & data on benthic flora both for biodiversity and eutrophication





Integration across pieces of legislation

Existing marine monitoring programmes to be amended to also cover MSFD requirements





What is already monitored?

For many of the MSFD indicators data should already be collected under other pieces of legislation





Monitoring for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive: Requirements and Options

Nikolaos Zampoukas, Henna Piha, Emanuele Bigagli, Nicolas Hoepffner, Georg Hanke & Ana Cristina Cardoso

2012

EUR 25187 EN - 2012









Gaps in required monitoring

Genetic structure of populations Size spectra of the benthic community Litter & Noise Not all species and habitats are being monitored Not all indicators operational/ monitoring requirements not always clear/ more parameters may be needed





Differences in spatial requirements

WFD: coastal waters (up to 1 nm)
EQS: territorial waters (up to 12 nm)
HD & BD: where listed species and habitats occur
CFP: where fish stocks and fishing activities take place
MSFD: all territorial waters and Exclusive Economic Zones





Considerations of frequency

WFD: every 1 month (priority substances), 3 years (macrobenthos) and 6 years (morphology) EQS: at least every 3 years HD: at least every 6 years BD: at least every 3 years CFP: yearly or every 3 years MSFD: not defined but the MSFD cycle has a 6 years periodicity Frequency should be parameter specific





Integration across Member States

Joint cruises and use of same instrumentation (e.g. UK SmartBuoy operating in NL waters) Joint research projects (e.g. PERSEUS) Joint development of assessment methods (e.g. OSPAR EcoQOs)





ew existing ISO & CEN standards: chlorophyll-a phytoplankton hard-substrate benthic communities





Large scale and other less applied monitoring approaches

- Moorings and buoys
- Continuous Plankton Recorders
- Underwater video & Imagery
- Underwater acoustics
- Remote sensing
- Autonomous underwater vehicles and gliders
- Ships of opportunity/FerryBox





Buoys



- Solar storage batteries
- Environment-friendly antifouling coatings
- Measurements in different depths
- temperature, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, trace metals, pCO2
- Real-time transmition of data in land-based observatories via satellite



courtesy of Paul Coenen (MBARI)





Continuous Plankton Recorder



http://www.sahfos.ac.uk

- Plankton sampling instrument designed to be towed from ships at approximately 10 m
- Water passes through the CPR and plankton is filtered onto a slow-moving band of silk





Continuous Plankton Recorder

In the laboratory CPR samples are analyzed in two ways:

• The Phytoplankton Colour Index (PCI), a semiquantitative estimate of phytoplankton biomass, is determined for each sample

•Then, microscopic analysis is undertaken and individual phytoplankton and zooplankton taxa are identified and counted





Continuous Plankton Recorder

- CPR can sample larger areas than other phytoplankton and zooplankton devices such as bottles and nets
- Data on biomass that are needed for many indicators can easily be taken while taxonomic identification needed for other indicators needs the same skills and human power as with any other sampling method
- CPR has also been used to monitor microlitter in the water column. However the CPR samples at approximately 10m depth and so will not sample floating debris





Underwater video & Imagery



http:noaa.gov

Information on:
structure of the sea-bed
composition and abundance of macroscopic benthic and pelagic biota

•non-living items, such as litter





Underwater video & Imagery



http://www.marine.ie

Counting of *Nephrops* burrows in Ireland where an underwater video camera is towed over the sea bed for around 200m





Underwater acoustics



Source: http:noaa.gov

Sonars for:

- •detection of animal and plant populations
- •information on their abundance, size, behavior and distribution
- •habitat mapping (depth, bottom roughness and hardness reflecting differences in sub-stratum types)
- •3D images possible Need for validation with other devices (e.g. cameras)





Underwater acoustics



Photograph by Brian J. Skerrynational geographic

Recording of sounds produced by marine animals (mainly mammals) could possibly provide info on their population abundance, their movements and location of their habitats. A related project is running in Catalonia: http://listentothedeep.com/





Remote sensing

Earth Observation from satellites carrying optical sensors provides information at unprecedented time scales over large and distant areas of the world ocean in a real cost-effective way, where only few observations can be conducted by traditional methods using oceanographic vessels





Remote sensing



http://emis.jrc.ec.europa.eu

Info on:

- Chlorophyll
- •total suspended matter
- pigmented fraction of dissolved organic matter
- phytoplankton functional groups Data accessible freely through space agencies or via specific web sites such as the Environmental Marine Information System from the Joint Research Centre

http://emis.jrc.ec.europa.eu



Autonomous underwater vehicles



http://www.ego-network.org

AUVs are free-swimming torpedoshaped devices remotely operated from the surface, most often powered by rechargeable batteries and/or buoyancy-based techniques (gliders)

Can cover large distance (ca. 10 miles) at various depths to provide a 3D view of the water column



Autonomous underwater vehicles



http://www.ego-network.org

Physical and bio-optical instruments:

- •Nutrients
- •Contaminants
- •Phytoplankton biomass
- •Temperature
- •Oxygen
- Conductivity
- Video-cameras:
- •Organisms (mostly pelagic)
- •Debris

Detectors of passive acoustic signals:

•Mammals





Ships of opportunity

Use of volunteer ferries, cruise ships and merchant vessels to gather oceanographic data

Various instrumentations to collect data related to physical, chemical and biological





FerryBox



http://www.ferrybox.org/



Automatic flow-through system pumping sea water on the side of the ship and propelling it in a internal loop at constant velocity to conduct the various measurements





JRC pilot research on monitoring of floating meso litter (2.5–30 cm)

- Camera on cruise ship, weekly travelling around Western Mediterranean
- 4 images/sec =600000 images/3 weeks campaign = 1300 km transect with overlapping images
 Image analysis for object recognition











JRC transect surface water sampling for contaminants

- Autonomous sampling system on cruise ship
- Sampling in predefined sites
- **Ultra trace** analysis of contaminant concentrations possible & successful
- Ship environment does not affect quality of sample
 Sample







In conclusion

Marine monitoring:

- is needed for several pieces of EU and other legislation - MSFD requires some additional one
- should be integrated in order to also be cost effective
- could be facilitated by large scale approaches





Thank you for your attention